arXiv:math/9605209v1 [math.LO] 6 May 1996

# INTERSECTION PROPERTIES OF OPEN SETS, II

I. JUHÁSZ, ZS. NAGY, L. SOUKUP, AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY

Abstract. A topological space is called $P_2$ ($P_3$, $P_{<\omega}$) if and only if it does not contain two (three, finitely many) uncountable open sets with empty intersection. We show that (i) there are 0-dimensional $P_{<\omega}$ spaces of size $2^\omega$, (ii) there are compact $P_{<\omega}$ spaces of size $\omega_1$, (iii) the existence of a $\Psi$-like examples for (ii) is independent of ZFC, (iv) it is consistent that $2^\omega$ is as large as you wish but every first countable (and so every compact) $P_2$ space has cardinality $\leq \omega_1$.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the investigations started in [4]. There $P_2$ spaces, i.e. spaces having no two uncountable disjoint open subsets, were considered. We solve some problems which were left open in that paper and strengthen some of its results. First we introduce some strengthenings of notion $P_2$.

**Definition 1.1.** A topological space $X$ has property $P_n$, where $n$ is a natural number, if it is Hausdorff and given open sets $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}$ with $\cap\{U_i : i < n\} = \emptyset$ we have $|U_i| \leq \omega$ for some $i < n$. We say $X$ is $P_{<\omega}$ if and only if it is $P_n$ for each $n < \omega$. The space $X$ is called *strongly* $P_2$ provided the intersection of two uncountable open sets is uncountable.

Clearly $P_m$ spaces are $P_n$ for $n < m$ and strongly $P_2$ spaces have property $P_{<\omega}$.

In section 2 we construct $P_{<\omega}$-spaces: a 0-dimensional one of size $2^\omega$ and two locally compact, first countable examples of size $\omega_1$. One of the ZFC constructions of locally compact $P_{<\omega}$ spaces is due to S. Shelah [9] and it is included here with his kind permission.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25,03E35.

*Key words and phrases.* almost disjoint families, $P_2$ spaces, Luzin gap.

The third author was supported by DFG (grant Ko 490/7-1) and Magyar Tudományért Alapítvány.

The preparation of this paper was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no. l908.

In section 3 we will see why the construction of a compact $P_3$ space can be expected to be much harder than that of a $P_2$ one: a $\Psi$-like example (see the definition 1.2 below), which worked in the $P_2$ case, can not be constructed for the $P_3$ case in ZFC. On the other hand we show (corollary 3.10) that it is consistent that $2^\omega$ is as large as you wish and there is a $\Psi$-like $P_{<\omega}$-space of size $2^\omega$.

**Definition 1.2.** **(1)** Given an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ we define the topological space $X[\mathcal{A}]$ as follows: its underlying set is $\omega \cup \mathcal{A}$, the elements of $\omega$ are isolated and the neighborhood base of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ in $X[\mathcal{A}]$ is $\mathcal{B}_A = \{A \cup \{A\} \setminus n : n \in \omega\}$. **(2)** A topological space is $\Psi$-*like* if and only if it is homeomorphic to $X[\mathcal{A}]$ for some almost disjoint $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$.

It is straightforward that $\Psi$-like spaces are locally compact, first countable and 0-dimensional.

In section 4 we present the proof of a partition theorem below the continuum which was used in [4] to show the it is consistent that $2^\omega$ is big but every first countable (and so every compact) $P_2$ space has cardinality $\leq \omega_2$.

Finally, in section 5 we strengthen this result (and solve problem 10 of [4]) by showing that it is consistent that $2^\omega$ is as large as you wish but every first countable (and so every compact) $P_2$ space is of size $\leq \omega_1$.

We use standard topological notation and terminology throughout, cf [6].

We would like to thank for the referee for his helpful suggestions and comments.

## 2. ZFC CONSTRUCTIONS OF $P_{<\omega}$-SPACES

**Proposition 2.1.** *There are 0-dimensional $P_{<\omega}$ spaces of size $2^\omega$.*

*First proof of proposition 2.1.* We show that the space $X$ from [4, theorem 3] is in fact $P_{<\omega}$. To start with, we recall its definition. Fix an independent family $\mathcal{F} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ of size $2^\omega$. The underlying set of $X$ will be $\omega \cup \mathcal{F}$, where the elements of $\omega$ are isolated. The neighborhood base of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ will be $\mathcal{B}_F = \{V_F(\mathcal{G}) : \mathcal{G} \in \left[\mathcal{F} \setminus \{F\}\right]^{<\omega}\}$, where $V_F(\mathcal{G}) = \{F\} \cup F \setminus \cup\mathcal{G}$. If $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \omega$ then $X$ will be 0-dimensional and $T_2$.

Let $U_0, \ldots, U_{n-1}$ be uncountable open subsets of $X$. For each $i < n$ we can find $\{F^i_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\{\mathcal{G}^i_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\} \subset \left[\mathcal{F}\right]^{<\omega}$ such that $F^i_\nu \notin \mathcal{G}^i_\nu$, the $F^i_\nu$ are all distinct and $V_{F^i_\nu}(\mathcal{G}^i_\nu) \subset U_i$.

Define the set mapping $f : \omega_1 \longrightarrow \left[\omega_1\right]^{<\omega}$ by the stipulation

$$f(\nu) = \{\mu : \exists j < n \ F^j_\mu \in \bigcup_{i<n} \mathcal{G}^i_\nu\}.$$

Since the $F^j_\mu$ are different we have $|f(\nu)| \le n|\bigcup_{i<n} \mathcal{G}^i_\nu|$. By Hajnal's theorem on set mappings ([3]) there is an uncountable $f$-free subset $I$ of $\omega_1$. Let $\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n-1}$ be different elements of $I$. Then $\{F^j_{\nu_j} : j < n\} \cap \bigcup_{i<n} \mathcal{G}^i_{\nu_i} = \emptyset$, so

$$\emptyset \ne \bigcap_{j<n} F^j_{\nu_j} \setminus \bigcap(\bigcup_{i<n} \mathcal{G}^i_{\nu_i}) = \bigcap_{i<n} V_{F^i_{\nu_i}}(\mathcal{G}^i_{\nu_i}) \cap \omega \subset \bigcap_{i<n} U_i,$$

which completes the proof. □

*Second proof of proposition 2.1.* Consider the space $D \cup \{p\}$ where $|D| = 2^\omega$, the elements of $D$ are isolated, and the co-countable subsets of $D$ forms the neighbourhood base of $p$.

Let us recall the following theorem [8, theorem 4.4.4].

**Theorem .** *If $X$ is a $P$-space (i.e. the intersection of countable many open sets is open) with* $\mathrm{w}(X) \le 2^\omega$ *then $X$ can be embedded into $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$.*

According to this theorem the space $D \cup \{p\}$ can be regarded as a subspace of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$. Consider the subspace $Y = \omega \cup D$ of $\beta\omega$. If $U$ is an uncountable open subset of $\beta\omega$ with $|U \cap Y| > \omega$ then $|U \cap D| > \omega$, so $p \in \overline{U \cap D}$. But $\omega$ is dense in $\beta\omega$, so $U \cap D \subset \overline{U \cap \omega}$. Hence $p \in \overline{U \cap \omega}$, i.e., $U \cap \omega \in p$. (Remember that the elements of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ are just the ultrafilters on $\omega$). But the intersection of finitely many elements of $p$ is not empty, so $Y$ is $P_{<\omega}$. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *There are first countable, locally compact, scattered $P_{<\omega}$ spaces of size $\omega_1$.*

We present two different examples. The first is due to S. Shelah [9].

*First proof of proposition 2.2.* The underlying set of our space $X$ will be $\omega_1 \times \omega$. For $x \in \omega_1 \times \omega$ write $x = \langle \pi_0(x), \pi_1(x) \rangle$. For $A \subset \omega_1 \times \omega$ and $i < 2$ put $\pi_i(A) = \pi_i'' A$. If $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $Y_\alpha = \{\alpha\} \times \omega$ and $X_\alpha = \alpha \times \omega$.

Let $P_0$ be the family of pairs $p = \langle A, f \rangle$ which satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

(i) $A \in \left[\omega_1 \times \omega\right]^{<\omega}$,

(ii) $f$ is a function, $f : A \times A \longrightarrow 2$.

To formulate (iii) and (iv) write $U(x) = U^p(x) = \{y \in A : f(y, x) = 1\}$ for $x \in A$.

(iii) $x \in U(x)$, $U(x) \setminus \{x\} \subset X_{\pi_0(x)}$,

(iv) $x \in U(y)$ implies $U(x) \subset U(y)$.

For $p = \langle A, f\rangle$ and $q = \langle B, g\rangle$ from $P_0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} p \le_0 q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad & A \supset B,\\ & f \supset g,\\ & \forall x, y, z_0, \dots, z_{l-1} \in B\\ & \quad \text{if } U^q(x) \cap U^q(y) = \textstyle\bigcup_{j<l} U^q(z_j)\\ & \quad \text{then } U^p(x) \cap U^p(y) = \textstyle\bigcup_{j<l} U^p(z_j). \end{aligned}$$

For $p \in P_0$ let

$$\mathcal{W}(p) = \{\langle x, s\rangle \in A^p \times \left[A^p\right]^{<\omega} : s \subset X_{\pi_0(x)}\}.$$

For $w = \langle x, s\rangle \in \mathcal{W}(p)$ let $b(w) = x$ and $U^p(w) = U^p(x) \setminus \bigcup_{y\in s} U^p(y)$. Let

$$\mathcal{D}(p) = \{\langle w_0, w_1\rangle \in \mathcal{W}(p) \times \mathcal{W}(p) : \pi_0(b(w_0)) < \pi_0(b(w_1))\}.$$

Now let $P$ be the family of triples $\langle A, f, d\rangle$, where $\langle A, f\rangle \in P_0$, $d$ is a function, $d : \mathcal{D}(\langle A, f\rangle) \longrightarrow \omega$, such that

(1) if $d(\langle w_0, w_1\rangle) = d(\langle w_0', w_1'\rangle)$ then $\pi_0(b(w_1)) \ne \pi_0(b(w_1'))$,

(2) if $d(\langle w_0, w_1\rangle) = d(\langle w_0', w_1'\rangle)$, $\alpha \in \pi_0(A)$ and $\alpha < \pi_0(b(w_0)) \le \pi_0(b(w_1'))$ then

$$U^p(w_0) \cap U^p(w_1') \cap Y_\alpha \ne \emptyset.$$

Write $p = \langle A_p, f_p, d_p\rangle$ for $p \in P$. If $p = \langle A, f, d\rangle$ and $q = \langle B, g, e\rangle$ are from $P$ take $p \le q$ if and only if $\langle A, f\rangle \le_0 \langle B, g\rangle$ and $d \supset e$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, \le\rangle$.

For $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $E_\alpha = \{p \in P : \alpha \in \pi_0(A^p)\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$.

**Lemma 2.3.** (*ZFC*) *There is an $\mathcal{P}$-generic filter over $\mathcal{E}$.*

*Proof.* This follows from [10], or Velleman's $\omega$-morass (a weak form of Martin's axiom provable in ZFC, see [11]) can be applied for $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{E}$. We explain the second argument more explicitly. By [11, Theorem 3.4] it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{E}$ is weakly $\omega$-indiscernible for $\mathcal{P}$ (see [11, Definition 1.5]).

For $n < \omega$, $\alpha < \omega_1$ and an order-preserving function $f : n \longrightarrow \alpha$ define $\sigma_f : P_n \longrightarrow P_\alpha$ as follows. For $x = \langle \nu, m\rangle \in n \times \omega$ let $\sigma_f(x) = \langle f(\nu), m\rangle$. For $\langle x, s\rangle \in (n \times \omega) \times \left[n \times \omega\right]^{<\omega}$ let $\sigma_f(\langle x, s\rangle) = \left\langle \sigma_f(x), \sigma_f'' s\right\rangle$. For $p = \langle A, f, d\rangle \in P$ take $\sigma_f(p) = \left\langle \sigma_f'' A, f', d'\right\rangle \in P$, where $f'(\sigma_f(x), \sigma_f(y)) = f(x, y)$ and $d'(\langle \sigma_f(w), \sigma_f(w')\rangle) = d(\langle w, w'\rangle)$.

We should check conditions [11, Definition 1.5](1)–(5). All but (5) are clear. So let $s < n < \omega$, $f = f(s, n)$ (i.e. $\mathrm{dom}(f) = n$, $f(i) = i$ for $i < s$ and $f(i) = n + i - s$ for $i \ge s$) and $p \in P_n$. We need to find a common extension of $p$ and $q = \sigma_f(p)$.

Let $B = A_p \cup A_q$ and $g : B \times B \longrightarrow 2$ be the function defined by the stipulation $g^{-1}\{1\} = f_p^{-1}\{1\} \cup f_q^{-1}\{1\}$. Clearly $r = \langle B, g\rangle$ is a common extension of $p^- = \langle A_p, f_p\rangle$ and $q^- = \langle A_q, f_q\rangle$ in $\mathcal{P}_0$. Now for each $\overline{w}_0 = \langle w_0, w_1\rangle \in \mathcal{D}(p) \setminus \mathcal{D}(q)$, $\overline{w}_1 = \langle w_0', w_1'\rangle \in \mathcal{D}(q) \setminus \mathcal{D}(p)$ and $\alpha \in \pi_0(B)$ with $d_p(\overline{w}_0) = d_q(\overline{w}_1)$ and $\alpha < \pi_0(b(w_0)) \le \pi_0(b(w_1'))$ pick a different element $z_{\{\overline{w}_0,\overline{w}_1,\alpha\}} \in Y_\alpha \setminus B$. Extend $r$ to $r' = \langle B', g'\rangle \in \mathcal{P}_0$ by adding the points $z_{\{\overline{w}_0,\overline{w}_1,\alpha\}}$ to $B$ in such a way that for any $x \in B$ we have $z_{\{\overline{w}_0,\overline{w}_1,\alpha\}} \in U^{r'}(x)$ if and only if $b(w_0) \in U^r(x)$ or $b(w_1') \in U^q(x)$. Finally, taking $d = d_p \cup d_q$ we choose $d' : \mathcal{D}(r') \longrightarrow \omega$ such that $d' \supset d$, $\operatorname{ran}(d' \setminus d) \cap \operatorname{ran}(d) = \emptyset$ and $d' \setminus d$ is 1–1. Now $r^* = \langle B', g', d'\rangle$ is a common extension of $p$ and $q = \sigma_f(p)$ in $P$. □

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an $\mathcal{E}$-generic filter over $\mathcal{P}$. The set $A = \bigcup\{A_p : p \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is uncountable because $\pi_0(A) = \omega_1$ by the genericity of $\mathcal{G}$. Take $U(x) = \bigcup\{U^p(x) : x \in A^p\}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_x = \{U(x) \setminus \bigcup_{j<l} U(z_j) : l < \omega, z_0, \dots z_{l-1} \in X_{<\pi_0(x)} \cap A\}.$$

Let $X = \langle A, \tau\rangle$, where $\mathcal{B}_x$ is the neighborhood base of $x$ in $X$.

It is straightforward that $X$ is scattered, 0-dimensional and locally compact.

Finally we show that $X$ is strongly $P_2$. Let

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\langle x, s\rangle \in A \times \Big[A\Big]^{<\omega} : s \subset X_{\pi_0(x)}\}.$$

For $w = \langle x, s\rangle \in \mathcal{W}$ put $U_w = U(x) \setminus \bigcup_{x' \in s} U(x')$.

Assume now that $V_0$ and $V_1$ are uncountable open subsets of $X$. We can find a sequence $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle w_0^\alpha, w_1^\alpha\rangle : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset \Big[\mathcal{W}\Big]^2$ such that

(i) $U_{w_i^\alpha} \subset V_i$ for $i < 2$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$,
(ii) $\alpha + 1 = \pi_0(b(w_0^\alpha)) < \pi_0(b(w_1^\alpha))$.

Let $d = \bigcup\{d_p : p \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Then $\mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{dom}(d)$, so there is an uncountable $I \subset \omega_1$ such that $d$ is constant on $\{\langle w_0^\alpha, w_1^\alpha\rangle : \alpha \in I\}$. Then, by the definition of $\mathcal{P}$, we have $U_{w_\alpha^0} \cap U_{w_\beta^1} \cap Y_\alpha \ne \emptyset$ for $\alpha < \beta \in I$. So the intersection $V_0$ and $V_1$ is uncountable. □

*Second proof of proposition 2.2.* The construction will be divided into two parts. First we introduce the notion of $\alpha$-good spaces and we show that $\omega_1$-good spaces are $P_{<\omega}$. Then in the second part we construct an $\omega_1$-good space in $ZFC$.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $X = \langle \nu \times \omega, \tau\rangle$ be a locally compact scattered topological space of height $\nu \le \omega_1$ such that the $\alpha^{\text{th}}$ level of $X$ is just

$X_\alpha = \{\alpha\} \times \omega$. Write $X^{(n)} = \nu \times \{n\}$. We say that $X$ is *$\nu$-good* if and only if

(a) $X^{(n)}$ is a closed subspace of $X$ and the natural bijection between $X^{(n)}$ and $\nu$ is a homeomorphism,

(b) for each limit ordinal $\beta < \nu$ and each $\alpha < \beta$ if $x \in X_\beta$ and $U$ is an open neighborhood of $x$ then the set

$$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x,U},\alpha} = \{n \in \omega : U \cap X^{(n)} \cap (\bigcup_{\alpha \le \gamma < \beta} X_\gamma) = \emptyset\}$$

is finite.

**Lemma 2.5.** *An $\omega_1$-good space $X$ is strongly $P_2$.*

*Proof of lemma 2.5.* Write $X_{<\alpha}$ for $\bigcup_{\nu<\alpha} X_\nu$. Let $U$ and $V$ be uncountable open subsets of $X$. Let

$$I = \{n \in \omega : \{\alpha : \langle \alpha, n\rangle \in U\} \text{ is stationary}\}.$$

By (a) we have $|\bigcup\{X^{(n)} : n \in I\} \setminus U| \le \omega$. Since the ideal $NS_{\omega_1}$ is $\sigma$-complete, the set $A = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : \exists n \in \omega \setminus I \ \ \langle \alpha, n\rangle \in U\}$ is not stationary. Hence there is $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $U \cap X_\alpha \subset \{\alpha\} \times I$. But $X_\alpha$ is dense in $X \setminus X_{<\alpha}$, so $U \cap X_\alpha$ is dense in $U \setminus X_{<\alpha}$. It follows that $I$ is infinite.

We show $(U \cap V) \setminus X_{<\alpha} \ne \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$.

Indeed pick $y \in V \cap X_\beta$ where $\alpha < \beta$ is limit. By (b) the set $K_{y,V,\alpha}$ is finite, so we can choose $n \in I \setminus K_{y,V,\alpha}$. Then $V \cap X^{(n)} \cap (X_{<\beta} \setminus X_{<\alpha}) \ne \emptyset$. But $|X^{(n)} \setminus U| \le \omega$, so $V \cap U \cap (X_{<\beta} \setminus X_{<\alpha}) \ne \emptyset$ provided that $\alpha$ is large enough, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

So it is enough to construct an $\omega_1$-good space.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If $\nu < \omega_1$ and $X = \langle \nu \times \omega, \tau\rangle$ is a $\nu$-good space then there is a $\nu + 1$-good space $Y$ such that $X$ is a subspace of $Y$.*

*Proof of lemma 2.6.* First recall that $X$ is collectionwise normal because it is countable and regular. During the construction we have to distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.** $\nu = \mu + 1$.

Let $\{x_{n,i} : n, i < \omega\}$ be a 1–1 enumeration of $\{\mu\} \times \omega$. The family $\{x_{n,i} : n, i < \omega\}$ is closed and discrete, so applying the collectionwise normality of $X$ we can find a closed discrete family $\{U_{n,i} : n, i < \omega\}$ of clopen subsets of $X$ with $x_{n,i} \in U_{n,i}$. Take $W_{n,i} = \{\langle \nu, n\rangle\} \cup \bigcup\{U_{n,j} : i \le j < \omega\}$. Let the neighborhood base of $\langle \nu, n\rangle$ in $Y$ be $\mathcal{W}_n = \{W_{n,i} : i < \omega\}$.

**Case 2.** *$\nu$ is limit.*

Let $\{\gamma_n : n < \omega\}$ be a strictly increasing, cofinal sequence in $\nu$. By induction choose ordinals $\delta_n < \nu$ and distinct points $\{x_{n,i} : n \le i < \omega\} \subset X$ such that

(i) $\gamma_n < \delta_n$,
(ii) $x_{n,i} \in (\delta_n \setminus \gamma_n) \times \{n\}$.

Put $E_n = (\nu \setminus \delta_n) \times \{n\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{E_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{x_{n,i} : n \le i \in \omega\}$ is a closed, discrete family of closed sets because for each $\alpha < \nu$ the set $\{F \in \mathcal{F} : F \cap X_{<\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Applying the collectionwise normality of $X$ we can find a closed, discrete family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{V_{n,i} : n \le i < \omega\}$ of clopen subsets of $X$ such that $E_n \subset U_n$ and $x_{n,i} \in V_{n,i}$. For each $x = \langle \alpha, m\rangle \in X$ fix a countable neighborhood base $\mathcal{B}_x$ of $x$ containing only clopen subsets of $X_{\le\alpha}$. The neighborhood base of $\langle \nu, n\rangle$ in $Y$ will be generated by the sets

$$W_{n,j,y,B} = (\bigcup_{j \le i < \omega} V_{n,i}) \cup (U_n \setminus B)$$

where $n \le j < \omega$, $y \in U_n$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_y$. It is easy to see that $Y$ satisfies the requirements □

Now define, by induction on $\nu$, $\nu$-good spaces $X_\nu$ for $\nu \le \omega_1$ which extend each other. For successor $\nu$ we can apply lemma 2.6. For limit $\nu$ we can simply take the union. □

## 3. Ψ-LIKE SPACES

All examples of locally compact $P_2$ spaces in [4, theorem 3,4 and 8] are $\Psi$-like. In this section we will see why the examples of first countable, locally compact $P_{<\omega}$ spaces constructed in the previous section in ZFC are not $\Psi$-like.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If $MA_{\omega_1}$($\sigma$-linked) holds then there is no $\Psi$-like $P_3$-space.*

Theorem 3.1 follows from the following combinatorial result.

**Theorem 3.2.** ($MA_\kappa$($\sigma$-linked)) *If $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ is an almost disjoint family then $\omega$ and $\kappa$ have partitions $(a_0, a_1, a_2)$ and $(\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$, respectively, with $|a_i| = \omega$ and $|\mathcal{I}_i| = \kappa$ such that*

$$\forall i \in 3\ \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_i\ |F_\alpha \cap a_i| < \omega. \tag{$*$}$$

*Remark .* As the referee pointed out, $MA$($\sigma$-centered) does not imply this statement because the strong Luzin property (see definition 3.8 below) of an almost disjoint family is preserved by any $\sigma$-centered forcing.

As it was recalled in [4] partitioning $\omega$ into two pieces is also not enough: a Luzin gap , i.e, an almost disjoint family $\{B_\beta : \beta < \omega_1\} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ such that for each $B \in \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ either the set $\{\beta < \omega_1 : |B_\beta \cap B| < \omega\}$ or the set $\{\beta < \omega_1 : |B_\beta \setminus B| < \omega\}$ is at most countable, can be constructed in ZFC.

*Proof of theorem 3.2.* For $I \subset \kappa$ write $F_I = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha$. Define the poset $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ as follows. Its underlying set $P$ consists of 7-tuples $\langle m, A_i, I_i : i \in 3\rangle$ satisfying (i)–(iii) below:

(i) $m \in \omega$, $A_i \in \left[\omega\right]^{<\omega}$, $I_i \in \left[\kappa\right]^{<\omega}$,
(ii) $(A_0, A_1, A_2)$ is a partition of $m$,
(iii) $F_{I_i} \cap F_{I_j} \subset m$ for $i \neq j$.

Write $p = \langle m^p, A_i^p, I_i^p : i \in 3\rangle$ and $I^p = \bigcup_{i \in 3} I_i^p$ for $p \in P$. Given $p, q \in P$ we set $p \leq q$ if and only if

(a) $m^q \leq m^p$,
(b) $A_i^q = A_i^p \cap m^q$ for $i \in 3$,
(c) $I_i^q \subset I_i^p$ for $i \in 3$,
(d) $F_{I_i^q} \cap (A_i^p \setminus A_i^q) = \emptyset$ for $i \in 3$.

Obviously $\leq$ is a partial order on $P$.

For $m \in \omega$ and $i \in 3$ put

$$D_{m,i} = \{p \in P : A_i^p \setminus m \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$D_m = \{p \in P : m^p \geq m\}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *$\forall m \in \omega\ \forall i \in 3\ \forall q\ \exists p \in D_{m,i}\ p \leq q \wedge I^p = I^q$.*

*Proof.* Let $q \in P$ with $A_i^q \subset m$. We can assume that $m \geq m^q$. Pick $m^* \in (\omega \setminus F_{I_i^q}) \setminus m$. Choose a function $f : [m^q, m^*] \longrightarrow 3$ such that $f(m^*) = i$ and $k \notin F_{I^q_{f(k)}}$ for $k \in [m^q, m^*)$. Such a function exists by (iii). Let $A_j = A_j^q \cup f^{-1}\{j\}$ for $j < 3$ and $p = \left\langle m^* + 1, A_j, F_j^q : j \in 3\right\rangle$. Obviously $p \in P$ and $m^*$ witnesses $p \in D_{m,i}$. Moreover, $p \leq q$. Indeed, conditions (a)–(c) are trivial and (d) holds because $A_j^p \setminus A_j^q = f^{-1}\{j\}$. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *$\forall m \in \omega\ \forall q\ \exists p \in D_m\ p \leq q \wedge I^p = I^q$.*

*Proof.* Straightforward by lemma 3.3. □

**Lemma 3.5.** *If $\alpha \notin I^q$ then for each $i \in 3$ there is a $p \leq q$ with $\alpha \in I_i^p$.*

*Proof.* We can assume that $i = 0$. Fix $m \in \omega$ with $F_\alpha \cap F_{I^q} \subset m$. Using lemma 3.4 pick $r \in D_m$ such that $r \leq q$ and $I^q = I^r$. Put $p = \left\langle m^r, A^r_j : j \in 3, I^r_0 \cup \{\alpha\}, I^r_1, I^r_2 \right\rangle$. Then $p \in P$ because (iii) holds by $F_\alpha \cap F_{I^p \setminus \{\alpha\}} = F_\alpha \cap F_{I^q} \subset m \subseteq m^r = m^p$. Since $p \leq q$ is trivial, the lemma is proved. □

For $\alpha \in \kappa$ put

$$E_{\alpha,i} = \{p \in P : I^p_i \cap [\omega\alpha, \omega\alpha + \omega) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$E_\alpha = \{p \in P : \alpha \in I^p\}.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Both $E_{\alpha,i}$ and $E_\alpha$ are dense in $\mathcal{P}$.*

*Proof.* Straightforward by lemma 3.5. □

**Lemma 3.7.** *$\mathcal{P}$ is $\sigma$-linked.*

*Proof.* By $MA_{\omega_1}$($\sigma$-linked) we have $\kappa < 2^\omega$ and so we can choose a countable dense set $D$ in the product space $3^\kappa$. For each $p = \langle m, A, I_i : i \in 3\rangle \in \mathcal{P}$ pick $d_p \in D$ such that $d_p(\alpha) = i$ whenever $i \in 3$ and $\alpha \in I_i$.

Let $p_0$ and $p_1$ be elements of $P$, $p_j = \left\langle m^j, A^j_i, I^j_i : i \in 3 \right\rangle$. We show that if

(1) $m^0 = m^1$,
(2) $A^0_i = A^1_i$ for $i \in 3$,
(3) $d_{p_0} = d_{p_1}$,

then $p_0$ and $p_1$ are compatible. Clearly this statement yields that $\mathcal{P}$ is $\sigma$-linked.

Let $I^j = \bigcup\limits_{i \in 3} I^j_i$ for $j \in 2$ and $I = I^0 \cap I^1$. Fix first $m' \geq m$ with

$$F_{I^0 \setminus I} \cap F_{I^1 \setminus I} \subset m'. \tag{$\dagger$}$$

If $k \in [m, m')$ and $j \in 2$ then, by (iii), there is at most one $i_j(k) \in 3$ with $k \in F_{I^j_{i_j(k)}}$. Choose $g(k) \in 3 \setminus \{i_0(k), i_1(k)\}$. Then $k \notin F_{I^0_{g(k)} \cup I^1_{g(k)}}$.

Define the partition $(A'_0, A'_1, A'_2)$ of $m'$ by the equations $A'_i = A_i \cup g^{-1}\{i\}$ for $i < 3$.

Put $p = \langle m', A'_i, I^0_i \cup I^1_i : i \in 3\rangle$.

Check first $p \in P$. Conditions (i) and (ii) are trivial. Since $I^0_i \cap I = I^1_i \cap I$ by (3) we have

$$F_{I^0_i \cup I^1_i} \cap F_{I^0_j} = (F_{I^0_i \cap I} \cap F_{I^0_j}) \cup (F_{I^1_j \setminus I} \cap F_{I^1_j}) \cup (F_{I^0_i \setminus I} \cap F_{I^1_j \setminus I}) \subset m \cup m \cup m' = m'$$

by (iii) for $p_0$ and $p_1$ and by (†). Thus (iii) holds for $p$. Finally we show that $p$ is a common extension of $p_0$ and $p_1$. Conditions (a), (b) and (c) obviously hold. But $A_i^p \setminus A_i^{p_0} = A_i' \setminus A_i = g^{-1}\{i\}$ and $g^{-1}\{i\} \cap F_{I_i^0 \cup I_i^0} = \emptyset$ by the choice of $g$, so (d) is also satisfied. The lemma is proved. $\square$

We are now ready to conclude the proof of the theorem. Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D_m, D_{m,i} : m \in \omega, i \in 3\} \cup \{E_\alpha, E_{\alpha,i} : \alpha \in \kappa, i \in 3\}.$$

By lemma 3.3-3.6, $\mathcal{D}$ is a family of dense subsets of $\mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is $\sigma$-linked, $MA_\kappa(\sigma\text{-linked})$ implies that there is a $\mathcal{D}$-generic filter $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathcal{P}$. Put $a_i = \bigcup\{A_i^p : p \in \mathcal{G}\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_i = \bigcup\{I_i^p : p \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Then (ii) and (b) imply $a_i \cap a_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Since $D_m \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$, we have $a_0 \cup a_1 \cup a_2 \supset m$. Moreover $D_{m,i} \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ implies $a_i \not\subset m$. Thus $(a_0, a_1, a_2)$ is a partition of $\omega$ into infinite pieces. Similar arguments show that $(\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is a partition of $\kappa$ into subsets of size $\kappa$.

Finally let $i \in 3$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_i$. Pick $q \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\alpha \in I_i^q$. Then $F_\alpha \cap a_i \subset F_{I_i^q} \cap a_i = \bigcup\limits_{p \in \mathcal{G}, p \leq q} (F_{I_i^q} \cap A_i^p) \subset A^q \subset m^q$ by (d). $\square$

By the previous theorem the existence of a $\Psi$-like $P_3$ space of size $\omega_1$ is not provable in ZFC. On the other hand, we will see that it is also consistent that $2^\omega$ is arbitrarily large and there is a $\Psi$-like $P_{<\omega}$-space of size $2^\omega$.

We start with a definition.

**Definition 3.8.** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ be an almost disjoint family. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a *strong Luzin gap* if and only if given finitely many uncountable subsets $\mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ we have that $\bigcap\limits_{i<n} (\bigcup \mathcal{A}_i)$ is infinite.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong Luzin gap if and only if the corresponding $\Psi$-like space $X[\mathcal{A}]$ (see definition 1.2) is $P_{<\omega}$.

In [4, theorem 6] a Luzin gap was obtained by a c.c.c forcing due to Hechler [5].

We show that this almost disjoint family is in fact strongly Luzin. First we recall some notations and definitions from [4]. Let $\kappa > \omega$ be a fixed regular cardinal. Write $\mathcal{D} = \left[\kappa\right]^{<\omega} \times \kappa$. Let

$$P = \{p : p \text{ is a function}, \operatorname{dom}(p) \in \mathcal{D}, \operatorname{ran}(p) \subset 2\}$$

If $p, p' \in P$, $\operatorname{dom}(p) = A \times n$, $\operatorname{dom}(p') = A' \times n'$ put $p \leq p'$ if and only if $p \supset p'$ and for each $k \in n \setminus n'$ we have $|\{\alpha : p(\alpha, k) = 1\}| = 1$.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is $P$-generic then let $A_\alpha = \{k : \exists p \in \mathcal{G}\ p(\alpha, k) = 1\}$. Take $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{G}] = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$.

**Lemma 3.9.** *$\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a strong Luzin gap in $V^P$.*

*Proof.* Assume on the contrary, that $n$ and $m$ are natural numbers, $p \Vdash$ "$\{\dot\nu_i : i < n\}$ *are injective functions from* $\omega_1$ *to* $\kappa$ *and*

$$\left(\bigcap_{i<n} \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} A_{\dot\nu_i(\alpha)}\right) \subset m.\text{"} \tag{$*$}$$

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ pick $p_\alpha \le_P p$ and $\nu_{\alpha,i} \in \omega_1$ for $i < n$ such that

$$p_\alpha \Vdash \text{"}\dot\nu_i(\alpha) = \hat\nu_{\alpha,i} \text{ for each } i < n.\text{"}$$

Without loss of generality the $\nu_{\alpha,i}$ are pairwise different. Let $\mathrm{dom}(p_\alpha) = D_\alpha \times k_\alpha$. We can assume that $k_\alpha$ are independent of $\alpha$, $k_\alpha = k \ge m$, $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system with kernel $D$, $|D_\alpha| = |D_\beta|$, $\{\nu_{\alpha,i} : i < n\} \subset D_\alpha \setminus D$ and denoting by $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ the unique order preserving bijection between $D_\alpha$ and $D_\beta$ we have that $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ gives an isomorphism between $p_\alpha$ and $p_\beta$ and $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\nu_{\alpha,i}) = \nu_{\beta,i}$ for $i < n$.

Let $\alpha_0 < \dots \alpha_{n-1} < \omega_1$. Define the condition $q \in P$ as follows:

1. $\mathrm{dom}(q) = (\bigcup_{i<n} D_{\alpha_i}) \times k + 1$,
2. $q \supset \bigcup\limits_{i<n} p_{\alpha_i}$,
3. $q(\nu_{\alpha_i,i}, k) = 1$ for $i < n$,
4. $q(\xi, k) = 0$ provided $\xi \in \bigcup\limits_{i,n} D_{\alpha_i} \setminus \{\nu_{\alpha_0,0}, \dots, \nu_{\alpha_{n-1},n-1}\}$.

Then $q$ is a condition which extends $p_{\alpha_i}$ for $i < n$, but $q \Vdash k \in \bigcap_{i<n} A_{\nu_{\alpha_i,i}}$ which contradicts $(*)$ because $k \ge m$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

This lemma yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.10.** *If ZF is consistent then so is ZFC +* $2^\omega = \kappa$ *is as large as you wish + there is a* $\Psi$*-like* $P_{<\omega}$ *space of size* $2^\omega$.

## 4. A POSITIVE PARTITION THEOREM BELOW $2^\omega$

In this section we present the proof of a theorem of Szentmiklóssy which was announced and applied in [4].

Given $A, B \subset \kappa$ we denote by $[A; B]$ the set $\{\{\alpha, \beta\} : \alpha \in A, \beta \in B, \alpha < \beta\}$.

**Definition 4.1.** Given cardinals $\kappa$, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ the partition relation $\kappa \longrightarrow \Big((\lambda; \lambda)\Big)^2_\mu$ holds if and only if $\forall f : \Big[\kappa\Big]^2 \longrightarrow \mu\ \exists A, B \in \Big[\kappa\Big]^\lambda$ $\exists \xi < \mu\ \Big(\mathrm{tp}(A) = \mathrm{tp}(B) = \lambda \wedge \sup A \le \sup B \wedge f''[A; B] = \{\xi\}\Big)$.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If ZF is consistent then so is ZFC +* $2^\omega = \kappa \ge \omega_3$ + $\omega_3 \longrightarrow ((\omega_1; \omega_1))^2_{\omega_1}$.

The proof is based on the following lemma. To simplify its formulation we write $P = \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_3, \omega_1; \omega_1)$. For $f,\ g,\ h \in P$ we write $f = g \dot\cup h$ to means that $f = g \cup h$ and $g \cap h = \emptyset$.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume GCH. If* $f : [\omega_3]^2 \longrightarrow P$ *then there is some* $C \in [\omega_3]^{\omega_2}$ *and there are elements* $\{p, p(\xi), q(\eta), r(\xi,\eta) : \xi, \eta \in C, \xi < \eta\} \subset P$ *with the following properties:*

(i) $\forall \xi < \eta \in C\ f(\xi,\eta) = p \dot\cup p(\xi) \dot\cup q(\eta) \dot\cup r(\xi,\eta)$,

(ii) $\forall \xi \in C\ \{f(\xi,\eta) : \eta \in C \setminus \xi + 1\}$ *forms a* $\Delta$*-system with kernel* $p \dot\cup p(\xi)$,

(iii) $\forall \eta \in C\ \{f(\xi,\eta) : \xi \in C \cap \eta\}$ *forms a* $\Delta$*-system with kernel* $p \dot\cup q(\eta)$,

(iv) *the* $p(\xi)$ *have disjoint supports,*

(v) *the* $q(\eta)$ *have disjoint supports.*

*Proof of lemma.* Fix a large enough regular cardinal $\tau$ and let $N$ be a countable elementary submodel of $\mathcal{H}(\tau) = \langle \mathrm{H}(\tau), \in, < \rangle$ with $f \in N$, where $\mathrm{H}(\tau)$ is the family of the sets whose transitive closure has cardinality $< \tau$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g \in N : g$ is a function from $\left[\omega_3\right]^{<\omega}$ to $\omega_1$ $\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{h \in N : h$ maps $\left[\omega_3\right]^2$ to $\omega_1\}$.

**Sublemma 4.3.1.** *There is* $D \subset \omega_3$ *of order type* $\omega_2 + 1$ *which is end-homogeneous for all* $g \in \mathcal{G}$ *and homogeneous for all* $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

*Proof of sublemma.* By $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ we can choose an increasing sequence $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha \le \omega_2 \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ such that $N \in N_0$, $|N_\alpha| = \omega_2$ and $\left[N_\alpha\right]^{\omega_1} \subset N_\alpha$.

Since $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in N_0$, they have enumerations $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \langle g_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \langle h_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in it.

Pick an arbitrary $x \in \omega_3 \setminus \sup(N_{\omega_2} \cap \omega_3)$.

By transfinite recursion on $\alpha$, define an increasing sequence $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\} \subset \omega_3$ with $x_\alpha \in N_\alpha$ such that

$$\forall n \forall a \in \left[\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}\right]^{<\omega} g_n(a, x_\alpha) = g_n(a, x). \tag{$*$}$$

This can be done by $\left[N_\alpha\right]^{\omega_1} \subset N_\alpha \prec \mathcal{H}(\tau)$ and $x \in \omega_3 \setminus N_\alpha$.

Color the elements of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ with the $\mathcal{H}$-colors of the pair $\{x_\alpha, x\}$:

$$F(x_\alpha) = \langle h_n(x_\alpha, x) : n < \omega \rangle .$$

The range of $F$ has cardinality $\le \omega_1^\omega = \omega_1$ by CH, so there is an $F$-homogeneous $D' \subset \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ of size $\omega_2$, and it is easily seen that $D = D' \cup \{x\}$ satisfies the requirement of the sublemma. □

To simplify our notation we will assume that $D$ is just $\omega_2 + 1$.

**Sublemma 4.3.2.** *$\forall \xi < \omega_2\ \exists \delta(\xi) < \omega_2\ \{f(\xi,\eta) : \eta \in \omega_2 + 1 \setminus \delta(\xi)\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system.*

*Proof of sublemma.* For $\xi < \eta < \eta' \le \omega_2$ let $d(\xi,\eta,\eta') = \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta)) \cap \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta'))$. Then $d(\xi,\eta,\eta')$ is one of the $\omega_1$ many countable subsets of $\operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta))$, so, by the end-homogeneity, $d(\xi,\eta,\eta')$ is independent of $\eta'$ for $\xi < \eta < \eta' \le \omega_2$. Denote this common value by $h(\xi,\eta)$. Unfortunately we can't apply the end-homogeneity for $h(\xi,\eta)$, because its range may have large cardinality. But we can argue in the following way. We know that $\eta < \eta'$ implies $h(\xi,\eta) \subset h(\xi,\eta')$, because for any $\eta' < \eta''$ we have $\operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta)) \cap \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta'')) = h(\xi,\eta) = \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta)) \cap \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta'))$, so $h(\xi,\eta) \subset \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta')) \cap \operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta'')) = h(\xi,\eta')$.

But $h(\xi,\eta)$ is a countable set, so

$$\forall \xi < \omega_2\ \exists \delta(\xi) < \omega_2\ \forall \eta,\eta' \ge \delta(\xi)\ h(\xi,\eta) = h(\xi,\eta').$$

But this means that $\{\operatorname{dom}(f(\xi,\eta)) : \eta > \delta(\xi)\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system with kernel $h(\xi,\delta(\xi))$. But $f(\xi,\eta') \lceil h(\xi,\delta(\xi))$ is independent of $\eta'$ by the end homogeneity, so the functions $f(\xi,\eta')$ are pairwise compatible for $\delta(\xi) \le \eta' \le \omega_2$. $\square$

Applying in four steps this sublemma, a $\Delta$-system argument, transfinite recursion and a $\Delta$-system argument again, we can find a set $D \in \left[\omega_2 + 1\right]^{\omega_2}$ with $\omega_2 \in D$ such that

1. $\forall \xi \in D\ \{f(\xi,\eta) : \eta \in D \setminus \xi + 1\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system with kernel $p^{\Delta}(\xi)$. We write $f(\xi,\eta) = p^{\Delta}(\xi) \dot\cup r^{+}(\xi,\eta)$,
2. $\{p^{\Delta}(\xi) : \xi \in D\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system with kernel $p$. We write $p^{\Delta}(\xi) = p \dot\cup p(\xi)$. So $f(\xi,\eta) = p \dot\cup p(\xi) \dot\cup r^{+}(\xi,\eta)$.
3. $\forall \eta \in D\ \forall \xi,\xi',\eta' \in D \cap \eta$ if $\xi' < \eta'$ then
$$\Big(\operatorname{dom} p(\eta) \cup \operatorname{dom} r^{+}(\xi,\eta)\Big) \cap \operatorname{dom} f(\xi',\eta') = \emptyset,$$
4. $\{f(\xi,\omega_2) : \xi \in D\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system.

By the end-homogeneity of $D$, it follows that $\{f(\xi,\eta) : \xi \in D \cap \eta\}$ also forms a $\Delta$-system with some kernel $q^{\Delta}(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in D$. Write $q^{\Delta}(\eta) = p \cup q(\eta)$.

Consider the increasing enumeration $\{\delta_\nu : \nu < \omega_2\}$ of $D$ and let $C = \{\delta_\nu : \nu \text{ is limit}\}$.

**Claim 1.** *If $\xi' < \eta' < \xi < \eta$ are from $D$, then* $\operatorname{dom} f(\xi',\eta') \cap \operatorname{dom} f(\xi,\eta) = \operatorname{dom}(p)$

Indeed, $f(\xi,\eta) = p \dot\cup p(\xi) \dot\cup r^+(\xi,\eta)$ and both $\mathrm{dom}(p(\xi))$ and $\mathrm{dom}\, r^+(\xi,\eta)$ are disjoint from $\mathrm{dom}(f(\xi',\eta'))$ by (3).

**Claim 2.** *If $\xi' < \eta' < \eta$ are from $C$, then* $\mathrm{dom}\, f(\xi',\eta') \cap \mathrm{dom}\, q(\eta) = \emptyset$

Indeed, pick $\xi \in D \cap (\eta', \eta)$, observe $q(\eta) \subset f(\xi,\eta)$, and apply claim 1.

**Claim 3.** *If $\eta' < \eta$ are from $C$, then* $\mathrm{dom}(q(\eta')) \cap \mathrm{dom}(q(\eta)) = \emptyset$*.*

Let $\xi' \in D \cap \nu'$. Then $q(\eta') \subset f(\xi',\eta')$ and apply claim 2.

**Claim 4.** *If $\xi < \eta$ are from $C$, then* $\mathrm{dom}(p(\xi)) \cap \mathrm{dom}(q(\eta)) = \emptyset$*.*

Indeed, pick $\eta^* < \xi^* \in D \cap (\xi,\eta)$. Then $\mathrm{dom}(p(\xi)) \cap \mathrm{dom}(q(\eta)) \subset \mathrm{dom}\, f(\xi,\eta^*) \cap \mathrm{dom}(f(\xi^*,\eta) \setminus p)) = \emptyset$ by claim 1.

So if you take $r(\xi,\eta) = f(\xi,\eta) \setminus \big(p(\xi) \cup q(\eta) \cup p\big)$ for $\xi < \eta \in C$, then the set $C$ and the conditions $\{p, p(\xi), q(\eta), r(\xi,\eta) : \xi,\eta \in C, \xi < \eta\}$ satisfy (i)–(v). The lemma is proved. □

*Proof of theorem 4.2.* We start with a model of ZFC + GCH and fix an arbitrarily large regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \omega_3$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\omega_1} = \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2, \omega)$ and consider the poset $Q = \prod^{\kappa}_{\omega_1,\omega} \mathcal{C}_{\omega_1} = \langle \mathrm{Fn}(\kappa, \mathcal{C}_{\omega_1}\omega_1), \leq_\omega \rangle$ where $f \leq_\omega g$ if and only if $\mathrm{dom}(f) \supset \mathrm{dom}(g)$, $f(\alpha) \leq_{\mathcal{C}_{\omega_1}} g(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(g)$ and $|\{\alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(g) : f(\alpha) \neq g(\alpha)\}| < \omega$ (see [2]). We will show that the model $V^Q$ satisfies our requirements.

It is known that $V^Q \models 2^\omega = \kappa$, $Q$ is proper and the cardinals in $V$ and in $V^Q$ are the same (see [2]). Obviously the next lemma concludes the proof.

**Lemma 4.4.** $V^Q \models$ *"*$\forall g : \big[\omega_3\big]^2 \longrightarrow \omega_1\ \exists A, B \subset \omega_3\ \mathrm{tp}(A) = \mathrm{tp}(B) = \omega_2$*,* $\sup A = \sup B$ *and* $\exists \alpha \in \omega_1\ \forall S \in \big[A\big]^\omega\ \exists B_S \in \big[B\big]^{\omega_2}\ g''[S, B_S] = \{\alpha\}$*".*

*Proof of lemma.* Assume $\dot g$ is a name of a function from $\big[\omega_3\big]^2$ to $\omega_1$.

For each $\xi < \eta < \omega_3$ pick a condition $s(\xi,\eta) \in Q$ and an ordinal $\alpha(\xi,\eta) \in \omega_1$ with $s(\xi,\eta) \Vdash$ "$\dot g(\xi,\eta) = \alpha(\xi,\eta)$". We can assume that $\mathrm{dom}(s(\xi,\eta)) \subset \omega_3$.

Fix an enumeration of $\mathcal{C}_{\omega_1}$ in V, $\{s_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\}$, and define a bijection $F$ between $\prod^{\omega_3}_{\omega_1,\omega} \mathcal{C}_{\omega_1}$ and $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_3, \omega_1; \omega_1)$ as follows:

$$F(s) = h \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{dom}(h) = s \\ \text{and } s(\nu) = c_{h(\nu)} \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathrm{dom}(h). \end{array}$$

Consider now the function $f : \big[\omega_3\big]^2 \longrightarrow P$ defined by the formula $f(\xi,\eta) = F(s(\xi,\eta)) \times \{\langle \omega_3, \alpha(\xi,\eta) \rangle\}$. Formally, the range of $f$ is $P \times$

$(\omega_3 \times \omega_1) = \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_3 + 1, \omega_1; \omega_1)$, but this poset is isomorphic to $P$. Applying lemma 4.3 we can get a $C \in [\omega_3]^{\omega_2}$ and elements $\{p, p(\xi), q(\eta), r(\xi,\eta) : \xi, \eta \in C, \xi < \eta\} \subset Q$ and $\alpha \in \omega_1$ satisfying (i)–(v) above and $\alpha(\xi,\eta) = \alpha$ for each $\xi < \eta \in C$. Let $\dot{A}$ and $\dot{B}$ be $Q$-names of subsets $C$ such that for all $\xi \in C$ we have $[[\xi \in \dot{A}]] = F^{-1}(p \cup p(\xi))$ and $[[\eta \in \dot{B}]] = F^{-1}(p \cup q(\eta))$. It is clear that $F^{-1}(p) \Vdash |\dot{A}| = |\dot{B}| = \omega_2$.

Let $S$ be a countable subset of $A$ in $V^Q$. Since $Q$ is proper, there is a countable $T$ in $V$ with $S \subset T$.

Let $B^* = \{\eta \in B : g''[T \cap A, \{\eta\}] = \{\alpha\}\}$ and $\dot{B}^*$ be a name for this set.

It is enough to show that $F^{-1}(p) \Vdash |B^*| = \omega_2$. Assume on the contrary that $r \leq F^{-1}(p)$, $\rho \in C$ and $r \Vdash$ "$B^* \subset B \cap \rho$", i.e., $B^*$ is bounded in $B$.

Let $E = \mathrm{dom}(p) \cup \mathrm{dom}(r) \cup \bigcup\{\mathrm{dom}(p(\xi)) : \xi \in T\}$. Pick $\sigma \in C \setminus \rho$ such that $\mathrm{dom}(q(\sigma) \cup \mathrm{dom}(r(\xi,\sigma) \cap E = \emptyset$ for each $\xi \in T$. Since $r \Vdash |S| = \omega$, there is $\xi \in T$ such that $r$ and $p \cup p(\xi)$ is compatible.

Let $r^* = r \wedge (p \cup p(\xi) \wedge (q(\sigma) \cup r(\xi,\sigma)$. Then $r^*$ forces a contradiction. □

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

## 5. Models without large first countable $P_2$-spaces

Hajnal and Juhász [4, Problem 10] asked if it is consistent to assume that $2^\omega \geq \omega_2$ and every first countable (or compact) space with property $P_2$ has cardinality $\leq \omega_1$. We give an affirmative answer here. We will argue in the following way. First we quote the definition of principle $\mathrm{C}(\kappa)$ from [7], then we show that $\mathrm{C}(\omega_2)$ implies that every first countable $P_2$ space has cardinality $\leq \omega_1$, finally we will cite a theorem from [7] saying that $\mathrm{C}(\omega_2)$ is consistent with any cardinal arithmetic.

**Definition 5.1.** (See [7].) Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. We say that principle $\mathrm{C}(\kappa)$ holds if and only if for each family $\{A(\xi,n), B(\xi,n) : \xi \in \kappa, n \in \omega\} \subset \left[\omega\right]^\omega$ either (i) or (ii) below holds:

(i) $\exists C \in \left[\kappa\right]^\kappa\ \forall n, m \in \omega\ \forall \xi \neq \zeta \in C\ A(\xi,n) \cap B(\zeta,m) \neq \emptyset$,

(ii) $\exists D, E \in \left[\kappa\right]^\kappa\ \exists n, m \in \omega\ \forall \xi \in D\ \forall \zeta \in E\ A(\xi,n) \cap B(\zeta,m) = \emptyset$.

**Theorem 5.2.** *If $\mathrm{C}(\kappa)$ holds then each first countable, separable Hausdorff space $X$ of size $\kappa$ contains two disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ of cardinality $\kappa$.*

*Proof.* Let $S$ be a countable dense subset of $X$. For each $x \in X$ fix a neighborhood base $\{U(x,n) : n \in \omega\}$ of $x$ in $X$. Take $A(x,n) =$

$B(x,n) = U(x,n) \cap S$ and apply $\mathrm{C}(\kappa)$. Since $X$ is $T_2$, there is no $C \in \left[X\right]^{\kappa}$ satisfying 5.1(i). So there are $D, E \in \left[X\right]^{\kappa}$ and $n, m \in \omega$ such that $U(x,n) \cap U(y,m) \cap S = \emptyset$ whenever $x \in D$ and $y \in E$. But $S$ is dense in $X$, therefore $U = \bigcup\{U(x,n) : x \in D\}$ and $V = \bigcup\{U(y,m) : y \in E\}$ are disjoint and of cardinality $\kappa$. □

It was proved in [7] that starting from a model of CH, after adding $\lambda$-many Cohen reals by the poset $P = \mathrm{Fn}(\lambda, 2, \omega)$, we have that $\mathrm{C}(\omega_2)$ holds in $V^P$. Since $P_2$ spaces are separable as it was observed in the proof of [4, theorem 1], theorem 5.2 yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** *If ZF is consistent then so is ZFC +* "$2^\omega$ is as large as you wish" + "every first countable $P_2$ space has cardinality $\leq \omega_1$."

## References

[1] J. E. Baumgartner, S. Shelah, *Remarks on superatomic Boolean algebras*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, **33** (l987), no. 2, 1-9-129.

[2] S. Fuchino, S. Shelah, L. Soukup, *Beating with sticks and clubs*, 1994, preprint.

[3] A. Hajnal, *Proof of a conjecture of S. Ruziewicz*, Fund. Math. **50** (1961), 123-128.

[4] A. Hajnal, I. Juhász, *Intersection properties of open sets*, Topology and its Application, **19** (l985), 201–209.

[5] S. H. Hechler, *Short complete nested sequences in $\beta N - N$ and small maximal almost disjoint families*, Gen. Top. Appl. **2** (1972) 139-149.

[6] I. Juhász, *Cardinal Functions - Ten Years Later*, Math. Center Tracts 123, Amsterdam, 1980.

[7] I. Juhász, S. Soukup, Z. Szentmiklóssy, *Combinatorial principles from adding Cohen reals*, to appear in Proc. of Logic Colloquium 95.

[8] J. Van Mill *An introduction to $\beta N$*, in Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, Ed. K. Kunen J.E Vaughan, Elsevier Publ. B. V. 1984.

[9] S. Shelah, private communication, 1993.

[10] S. Shelah, *Models with second order properties III. Omitting types in $\lambda^+$ for $L(Q)$*, Proc. of a Berlin Workshop July 1977, Archive f. Math. Logik **12** (l980) 1-11.

[11] D. Velleman *$\omega$-morasses and a weak form of Martin's axiom provable in ZFC*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), no 2. 617–627

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Reáltanoda u, 13-15, 1053, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `juhasz@math-inst.hu`

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Reáltanoda u, 13-15, 1053, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `zsiga@math-inst.hu`

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Reáltanoda u, 13-15, 1053, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `soukup@math-inst.hu`

Department of Analysis, Eötvös University, Budapest, Múzeum krt, 6–8, 1088, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `szentmiklossy@math-inst.hu`